```
Challenge 1b
1) Two le or not to be (alternative solution)

I k 2 k=(1-0) => Ik k>0 x k< 0 and k>1 > 2 k>1)

Which is clearly false. Thus:
  1/2 2 = (1-0) = [0] = [1] ( Lefinition)
               = { [0] = , [1] = 2
               (N; ≤) is well-ordered
⇒ VA (A⊆N, A+Ø) → ∃a aEA, (Vz xEA→a≤n)
(def. of well-ordered)
\exists \forall A \land A \in \mathbb{N}/=_{2} \Rightarrow \exists a \Rightarrow 0, a \in A \land (\forall z \Rightarrow 2) \Rightarrow (z \in A \Rightarrow a \leq z)) (1)
(A \in \mathbb{N}/=_{2} \Rightarrow A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \land A \neq \emptyset)
 \forall n n \geq 2 \rightarrow (n-2 \geq 0, n-(n-2)=2:1)
 ⇒ Yn ~> 2 → (~-2>0 n ~= 2~-2)

⇒ Yn ~> 2 → (~-2>0 n (~-2) ∈ [~] (2)
 S$ 72 2000 $ 201 20 1
 Assume Strue:
 S=> In n=01n+01n+11 n=101n+1(a=l=) a= lb)
   => In n>2m=20n=11 (a>b na+b=) a>b=>a>b+1 since b+1 covers b)
```

which is obviously folse (FA7F=L)
By contradiction, S is folse.

 $75 \Rightarrow \forall n \geqslant 0 \quad (n \equiv 0 \lor n \equiv_{2} 1) \quad (7 \Rightarrow x \mid P(x) \Rightarrow \forall x \mid P(x) + De Mogan's nuls)$ $\Rightarrow \forall n \geqslant 0 \quad (n \in [0]_{\equiv_{2}} \lor n \in [7]_{\equiv_{2}})$ $\Rightarrow |N \neq_{2}| \leqslant 2$ $\Rightarrow |N \neq_{2}| = 2 \quad (|N \neq_{2}| \geqslant 2 + onticy mutus)$